1 powerful form.

- Q. So am I correct you may have seen him before; you may not have seen him before? Is that an accurate statement?
- A. It is possible. One of the reasons I feel that I may have known him is I ran into him in the restroom, ran into him twice downstairs, ran into him at the coffee shop, ran into him at the elevator first floor, and ran into him at this room.

That's five times in a day. That may have emphasized the fact that I maybe I ran into this guy before, running into him five or six times within the course of five minutes here in this building today.

- Q. Of course. I understand that, and I appreciate that. I was talking about prior to this morning, and if my question wasn't clear I would like to ask it to you again.
- A. I'm saying sitting here looking at him today, now at this hour, he vaguely looks like I may have seen him, but that may have been because of the sequence of seeing him in this building five, six times, today.
 - Q. Do you think you might have seen him at

1	the moter where you work:
2	A. I don't know.
3	Q. So you may have, and you may not have;
4	is that accurate?
5	A. That's correct. That's accurate.
6	Q. Now, do you know a person named George
7	Gardner?
8	A. No. By association, no.
9	Q. Have you ever talked to anyone who
,10	identified themselves as George Gardner?
11	A. It's possible, but I am not aware of
12	it.
13	Q. Do you have any recollection of ever
14	talking to someone who identified himself as George
15	-Gardner?
16	A. I don't have a recollection, no.
17	Q. Has anyone in the world told you that
18	George Gardner's deposition and David Gardner's
19	deposition were taken earlier this week, just like
20	your deposition is being taken this moment?
21	A. No.
22	Q. You have no knowledge of that?
23	A. No. I thought it was
24	Q. That's the first time you ever heard of
25	that?

No, I thought we were being deposed 1 λ. today, both of us. 2 You thought who was being deposed Q. 3 today? 4 Whatever parties were being deposed, I 5 thought we would all be deposed today. 6 Q. So you have no knowledge that George 7 and David Gardner were deposed earlier this week? 8 9 A. No. That's the first you heard of it? 10 Q. That's correct. 11 Α. Q. Now, I want you to have before you -- I 12 have a few questions about this document which is 13 March deposition exhibit two, and it's entitled 14 Affidavit of Barry L. March. 15 Now, look at page four -- Excuse me, 16 five of the document, will you, please? That's 17 your signature, isn't it? 18 Yes, it is. 19 Α. And that was signed on the 7th day of 20 Q. May, is that correct? 21 Yes, it is. 22 A. Q. Did you sign that document in front of 23 the woman -- in front of Ellen Buchanio, who is a 24 notary public? Did you sign it in her presence? 25

1	λ.	Yes.
. 2	Ω.	Do you know Ellen Buchanio?
3	A.	No.
4	Ω.	Were you sent to some place where she
5	was and sig	ned it in front of her?
6	λ.	Yes.
7	Q.	Where did you sign it?
. 8	Α.	The attorney's office.
9	Q.	Whose attorney?
.10	Α.	Allen Krause.
11	Q.	I see. Who was present when you signed
12	it?	
13	Α.	Allen Krause and the notary.
14	Q.	Now, I'd like you to tell me in your
15	own words h	ow it came about that you signed this
16	affidavit.	
17	Α.	I got a telephone call from Chris Holt.
18	Q	When?
19	Α.	Prior to that date.
20	٥.	Do you recall approximately how long
21	before?	
22	λ.	I would say one to two months prior.
23	٥.	Go ahead.
24	λ.	And he requested
25	۵.	First of all, did he identify himself
	1	

1 to you?

- A. He identified himself, yes.
- Q. How did he identify himself?
- A. He identified himself as Christopher Holt, an attorney representing the company he is representing with an interest in a particular TV tower -- TV tower or slash antenna that was proposed for the Lebanon area and mentioned that our hotel possibly signed an agreement or entered into an agreement with Raystay and wanted to know what knowledge I had of this.
- Q. Did he tell you anything other than what you have just said, or is that all that you can recall?
- A. We had a conversation, a dialogue, and I told him I would have to refer this to my attorney.

The dialogue was vague. We did not get into details.

I referred Mr. Holt to Allen Krause.

The two of them had a conversation, and I at that time did not want to get involved at all in any way, shape, or form since this was, in my opinion, a very, very vague agreement, if any, on my behalf to venture into any contract now or in the future.

The events of this in the past are very clear in my mind, that I did, in fact, talk to someone either in person, as I stated, or on the phone. I am not sure which.

But I remembered that the conversation was extremely vague about using the site of the Lebanon Valley Quality Inn, due to the height of the roof, and the valley, to put up a transmission device, whether it be a whip antenna, a satellite, etc.

Because of the vagueness, I thought that I should not be brought into this whatsoever, because I have no concrete information to contribute. Which I shared with my attorney.

My attorney advised me, after we had a lengthy conversation, that rather than draw this out, it's best to, at this point in time, just go through the process, give my recall to the best of my ability.

And that's how and why I dealt with Mr. Holt.

- Q. Now, how many conversations did you have with Mr. Holt concerning the preparation of your affidavit?
 - A. I would say about three.

1	Q. Three. And will you walk me through,
2	to the best of your recollection, the substance of
3	those conversations?
4	A. Conversation number one was the inquiry
5	about my knowledge whereby, as I told you, I
6	referred him to counsel.
7	Q. He then called counsel?
8	A. He called my attorney, yes.
9	Q. Were you on the phone call when he
.10	spoke to your attorney?
11	A. No, sir.
12	Q. What happened next?
13	A. Call number two was to, basically, get
14	my side of what knowledge I had concerning Raystay,
15	which I recited to him over the telephone.
16	Q. Did he ask you questions and you
17	answered him?
18	A. For the most part, yes. Yes.
19	Q. And did he tell you he was recording
20	your conversation?
21	A. Yes.
22	Q. Okay.
23	A. And at that time he said that he will
24	type something up for me and send it back for my
25	consideration and approval, and I can at that point

show it to Attorney Krause or have Attorney Krause 1 call him back with any questions. 2 And then we did, on that call, agree 3 that that's the way -- I agreed that that's the way I would venture into this. 5 And, basically, the third call was the 6 confirmation of the final exhibit. 7 Now, after the first call which you had 8 talked about, you spoke with your attorney? 9 A. Yes. 10 And then the second call was a call 11 with Mr. Holt where he obtained the information 12 from you which ultimately ended up in this 13 affidavit, is that correct? 14 15 Α. Yes. And how long was that? Give me your 16 best recollection of how long that telephone call 17 18 was. Fifteen, twenty -- Maybe twenty 19 A. minutes. That's the best recollection I have. 20 21 I'm asking you always just for your Q. 22 best recollection. And was anybody else participating in 23 that phone call other than you and Mr. Holt, to 24 your knowledge? 25

1	A. No.
2	Q. Was that on the speaker phone?
3	A. No.
4	Q. And then Mr. Holt prepared a draft or a
5	document, correct?
6	A. Affirmative.
7	Q. Affirmative. And he sent that document
8	to you, or to your attorney, or to both?
9	A. I don't know if Attorney Krause got a
.10	copy or not at the time. I got a copy.
11	Q. And you read it?
12	A. And I read it.
13	Q. And did you supply a copy to your
14	attorney?
15	A. Yes. Before it was signed and
16	returned.
17	Q. Did you again talk about the document
18	with Mr. Holt before you signed it?
19	A. No, I believe I mailed it back, and
20	then I think we had a final conversation.
21	Q. You signed it, mailed it back, and then
22	had a final conversation?
23	A. Yes.
24	Q. Did you make any changes in what Mr.
25	Holt sent you?

1	A.	Not the final draft, no.
2	Q.	There was more than one draft?
3	λ.	I mean the final draft was drawn
4	through the	second telephone conversation.
5	٥.	You used the word, draft.
6	λ.	Okay, final document.
7	Q.	Was there more than one version of this
8	affidavit o	r only one version?
9		I'm using the word version Do you
.10	understand	what I mean by version?
11	Α.	(Indicates yes.)
12	Q.	Was there more than one version? Think
13	hard.	
14	λ.	That's a good question.
15	۵.	If you can recall, or you don't recall?
16	λ.	I don't recall.
17	٥.	So there might have been more than one
18	version?	-
19	λ.	Possibly.
20	۵.	Now, when you got the document from Mr.
21	Holt, and y	ou read it, did you have any questions
22	about it?	
23	λ.	No.
24	٥.	Was everything Did everything he
25	says in the	re, was that exactly the way you wanted

1	to say it?
2	A. Yes.
3	Q. So you made no changes?
4	A. No.
5	Q. None?
6	A. Not to my knowledge.
7	Q. If you made no changes, why would there
8	have been a need for more than one version?
9	A. I didn't say there was another version.
.10	Q. I'm trying to help your memory. You
11	said you couldn't recall. I'm trying to help your
12	recollection. I'm trying to help you.
13	Why would there have been a need for
14	more than one version if you made no changes?
15	A. The possibility would exist, and does
16	exist, that maybe a rough draft was sent to me that
17	I may have made some corrections and sent it back
18	for the final. I don't know.
19	Q. Do you have that rough draft?
20	A. No, sir.
21	Q. How do you know?
22	A. I don't have anything in my possession.
23	Q. That would have been in the documents
24	that you were looking for, is that it, which
25	weren't found?

No, it would not. That would have been 1 in -- not past history documents. That would be 2 current documents. 3 Had I revised this, why would I have kept the old draft when it wasn't signed by me? 5 So you threw it away? Q. I would have, yes. 7 A. Do you recall throwing it away? 8 Q. No. 9 A. Mr. Shook, my colleague, says that he .10 Q. thinks that he has seen your attorney have a 11 document which may have been a draft. Do you have 12 any knowledge of that? 13 14 A. No. So you don't know whether your attorney 15 has a version -- I'm using the word, version, an 16 earlier version of this affidavit? 17 I do not know if he does or not. 18 A. He may or may not? 19 Q. 20 He may or may not. A. Did your attorney and Mr. Holt talk 21 Q. about this affidavit, to your knowledge? 22 23 I referred this to my attorney. I talked to my attorney about the legal aspect of 24 signing this, my noninvolvement, of getting 25

1	involved, and that was my emphasis to my attorney.
. 2	The conversation that he had with Mr.
3	Holt, I'm totally unaware of.
4	Q. He never told you he did; he never told
5	you he didn't?
6	A. Did or did not.
7	Q. Have a conversation with Mr. Holt about
. 8	your affidavit before you signed it?
9	A. He did have a conversation, I'm sure,
.10	with him. I referred him. I said that before,
11	that my attorney recommended, after conferring with
12	Mr. Holt on the phone, that it was in our best
13	interest at this time to provide it and sign it.
14	Q. After Mr. Holt sent you the affidavit,
15	did you supply it before you signed it Did you
16	supply a copy to your attorney?
17	A. I would say yes.
18	Q. Did you discuss that document with your
19	attorney?
20	A. Yes.
21	Q. Did he suggest any changes or
. 22	revisions?
23	A. To my knowledge, no.
24	Q. To your knowledge. You mean you can't
25	recall that he did, or you can't recall that he

1	didn't? What do you mean by to my knowledge?
2	A. As a businessman, sir, I sign papers on
3	a daily basis.
4	I spend five hours a day reading
5	literature, materials, signing letters, dictating.
6	I can't take my mind back and just isolate this in
7	my life.
8	Q. So you don't recall?
9	A. That's a fact.
.10	Q. In other words, there He may have
11	made changes or he may not have made changes?
12	A. I would say he did not.
13	Q. Is that based on your recollection?
14	A. That's based on my recollection. I
15	would not say with certainty.
16	Q. And can you recall whether your
17	attorney gave you or suggested any other version of
18	the affidavit other than the one that you received
19	from Mr. Holt?
20	A. No.
21	Q. So you can recall no changes that your
22	attorney suggested to you should be made in the
23	document that Mr. Holt sent you? That's your
24	testimony, correct?
25	λ. Yes.

1	Q.	And you can recall no changes that you
2	made?	
3	λ.	That's correct.
4	٥.	So the document that Mr. Holt sent to
5	you was acc	urate?
6	λ.	Yes.
7	Ω.	And it was what you wanted to say?
8	λ.	Yes.
9	Q.	And you were satisfied with it?
.10	λ.	Yes.
11	Q.	And that's why you signed it?
12	λ.	Yes.
13	Q.	Now, Mr. Holt; you never met Mr. Holt,
14	as I unders	tand it, until this morning, correct?
15	λ.	Yes.
16	Ω.	And did you have a conversation with
17	Mr. Holt th	is morning?
18	λ.	No. Well, when I walked in the room.
19	Q.	You just exchanged pleasantries? Did
20	you meet wi	th Mr. Holt privately this morning?
21	λ.	No.
22	Ω.	Did Mr. Holt send you any documents for
23	you to look	at?
24	λ.	Yes.
25	Ω.	What did he send you?

A. He sent me a design of the tower that was submitted to FCC, I believe it was. But, anyway, a design of the tower that is allegedly the tower to be put on top of a roof.

And he sent me information about the case in general.

- Q. What information?
- A. About the application.
- Q. What application?
- A. I believe Raystay, whatever the name of the company is, applied for several extensions and, also, applied with the Lancaster -- a concrete company in Lancaster for the establishment of a tower on top of one of their buildings.
 - Q. What other documents did he send you?
- A. Just generalized documentation, the case with FCC as it stands today.
- Q. What does that mean, generalized documentation? I understand your wording, but I don't know what you're saying.
- A. Basically, where the case stands today up to this point with FCC.
- Q. What did he tell you as to where the case stands today?
 - A. Well, that Raystay, as I said, has

1	applied for extension permits.
2	Q. He told you that?
3	A. Yes.
4	Q. He told you that Raystay has or had
5	I didn't quite understand.
6	A. H-A-D applied to extend the life of
7	their permit so that it's still in existence.
8	Q. Yes. Did he tell you when they had
9	applied?
.10	A. We didn't get into specifics because I
11	could care less.
12	Q. Let me say for your benefit, because I
13	know you're interested in this since you're here
14	today, and you gave a declaration
15	A. I'm only here, sir, because of this.
16	(Indicating a document.)
17	Q. You gave an affidavit. That's why
18	you're here. Raystay filed two extension
19	applications, one in December of 1991 and one in
20	July of 1992.
21	Are you aware Did Mr. Holt ever tell
22	you that Raystay turned in the construction
23	permits; they were forfeited to the FCC? Did he
24	ever tell you that?
25	A. No, no.

1	Q. They were turned in by Raystay. That's
2	the first you have heard of this; is that right?
3	A. Yes.
4	Q. But had Mr. Holt never told you that?
5	A. No.
6	Q. What else did Mr. Holt tell you about
7	the status of the I case, as you put it?
8	A. Basically, that's it.
9	Q. So he said to you that Raystay had
10	applied for two extensions, or how many extensions?
11	A. He just said extensions, the word,
12	plural.
13	Q. Plural?
14	A. Yes.
15	Q. And did he tell you anything else about
16	the status of the case?
17	A. Not from great detail. I'll state
18	again, I don't want to be involved in this, and I
19	don't want to know what knowledge Mr. Holt has
20	concerning this case, and I don't care about his
21	interest in the case.
22	Q. Well, once you submitted this affidavit
23	you got yourself involved, and that's why you're
24	here this morning.
25	Now, do you have any knowledge of what

the proceeding is that is now pending before the Federal Communications Commission that's requiring your testimony here this morning?

- A. No.
- Q. Mr. Holt never told you that?
- A. Again, the conversations were vague because I kept them on that level. I don't want to be on the phone for one hour listening to all the facts involved in this case and the dates involved in this case because I have no interest in this case.
- Q. Well, you're involved in the case, whether you like it or not, and in all probability you will be a witness in a hearing.

Did Mr. Holt talk to you about the fact that you will probably be a witness in Washington, D.C. at a hearing before an administrative law judge?

- A. No.
- Q. He didn't tell you that you would probably be traveling to Washington, D.C. in December?
 - A. No.
- Q. That's the first time you have heard of that?

1	A. Yes.
2	Q. Who typed this affidavit?
3	A. This particular document right here?
4	Q. Yes.
5	A. Mr. Holt's office.
6	Q. And no typing, to your knowledge, was
7	done by your counsel, by your attorney; is that
8	correct?
9	A. To my knowledge, it was not.
.10	Q. Now, when you discussed this document
11	with your attorney after Mr. Holt sent it to you
12	By the way, I'm not sure I recall. Did you supply
13	the document to your attorney, or did Mr. Holt? I
14	forgot which you said.
15	A. Mr. Holt may have sent him one, but I
16	also made a copy immediately and got it to his
17	office.
18	Q. And did you have a conversation with
19	your attorney about the affidavit that Mr. Holt
20	prepared?
21	A. Yes.
22	Q. Was that a person-to-person
23	conversation, or was that a telephone conversation?
24	A. Telephone call.
25	Q. And you talked about the document?

Yes. 1 A. Q. And did he have any suggestions to you 2 about the wording in the affidavit? 3 No. 4 So he said -- He advised you to go Q. 5 ahead and sign it the way that Mr. Holt had 6 prepared it? 7 A. Yes. 8 And do you know whether your counsel 9 and Mr. Holt, without your presence, outside your .10 presence, discussed the affidavit? 11 I do not know. 12 A. You have never asked your counsel? 13 Q. 14 No, I didn't. λ. So as of this minute you don't know? 15 Q. No, I don't know. 16 Α. Were you told that this declaration, 17 this affidavit that you signed, was going to be 18 submitted to the Federal Communications Commission? 19 20 A. I don't recall. Q. It never occurred to you to ask? 21 A. I go back to my statement that my 22 attorney told me: It's in the best interests of 23 myself and the hotel to cooperate at this level, at 24 this time, because we're going to be subpoensed, to 25

be drawn into this anyway. 1 So why do I care if it will eventually 2 end up in their hands or not? 3 Q. Well, I want to ask you again. Were you told by Mr. Holt that this document was going 5 to be submitted to the Federal Communications 6 7 Commission? A. I don't recall. 8 Q. Is this the first time you have given 9 any consideration to that point? Has anyone ever .10 brought that to your attention up to this minute, 11 that this document, whether or not this document 12 was to be submitted to the Federal Communications 13 Commission? 14 A. The only concern I had, sir, was to be 15 here, to tell the truth about my involvement. 16 Where the document ends up is immaterial. 17 18 Q. Are you aware whether or not this document was ever submitted to the Federal 19 Communications COmmission? 20 21 A. I do not know. Q. I want you to look at the document, 22 paragraph eight of the affidavit. 23 24 A. Page eight. Q. Paragraph eight and page five. And 25

1	read paragraph eight.		
2	A. I understand that this affidavit may be		
3	submitted to the FCC, comma, and I have provided it		
4	freely and without the payment or offer of any		
5	consideration, period.		
6	Q. Is that the first time you read that?		
7	A. Certainly not. I signed it.		
8	Q. So when you signed it, did you read it?		
9	A. Yes.		
.10	Q. So you knew that this affidavit may be		
11	submitted to the FCC?		
12	A. Obviously, yes.		
13	Q. So a moment ago you just didn't recall		
14	that, is that correct?		
15	A. That's the statement I gave you. Yes,		
16	sir.		
17	Q. Does this refresh your recollection		
18	after reading paragraph eight?		
19	A. Yes.		
20	Q. So you did know that the document you		
21	were submitting may be submitted to the FCC?		
22	MR. KRAUSE: I object. This is		
23	absolutely out of line. He's answered the		
24	question.		
25	BY MR. COHEN:		

1	Ω.	Okay. Let me ask you this. Did you
2	receive any	compensation of any kind in any form
3	from Mr. Ho	lt or anyone on his behalf for
4	cooperating	?
5	λ.	No.
6	Q.	Not a penny?
7	λ.	Not a penny.
8	Q.	No expenses?
9	λ.	No expenses.
.10	Ω.	No Nothing whatsoever, correct?
11	Α.	That's affirmative.
12	Q.	Is the name, Trinity Broadcasting
13	Company, a	name that you are familiar with, sir?
14	λ.	No.
15	Q.	You have never heard it until this
16	minute?	
17	λ.	Yes, I have heard it before this
18	minute.	-
19	Q.	Where have you heard that, sir?
20	λ.	I have read it.
21	Ω.	Are you aware that Trinity Broadcasting
22	Company is	involved in this case?
23	λ.	Yes.
24	٥.	And when did you learn it?
25	λ.	Months ago.

And from whom did you learn it? 1 Q. A conversation with Mr. Holt. 2 And what did he tell you? 3 Q. I just remember the name, Trinity. 4 That's all I remember. 5 Other than the subpoena fee that you 6 have received for testifying here today, have you 7 received or have you been promised any compensation 8 by anyone for testifying this morning? 9 I have received no compensation, and ,10 the subpoena fee check is in my briefcase, and I 11 will ask my attorney if it would be prudent to even 12 cash that check. 13 Very well. Now I want to ask you --14 Q. And I appreciate your patience. 15 And, by the way, if you wish to, at any 16 time, to take any kind of a break, or go to the 17 restroom, or get a cup of coffee and walk around, I 18 19 . would be pleased to accommodate you. 20 Fine. A. 21 Q. I hope you understand that. 22 I appreciate it. λ. It's unfortunate. No one likes to 23 Q. 24 testify, but any time you want to take a break, tell me. 25